

Type Ia Supernovae are Excellent Standard Candles in the Near-Infrared Arturo Avelino¹, Andrew S. Friedman^{2,3}, Kaisey Mandel⁴, Robert P. Kirshner^{1,5}, David Jones⁶, and Peter Challis¹

¹Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, ²UC San Diego Center for Astrophysics and Space Sciences, ³MIT, ⁴Cambridge University, Kavli Institute for Cosmology, ⁵ Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, ⁶ UC Santa Cruz Dept. of Astronomy & Astrophysics

Abstract

We use 89 Type Ia Supernovae (SN Ia) with optical and near-infrared (NIR) photometry to derive distances and create low redshift (z<0.04) Hubble diagrams. We explore both a Gaussian Process (GP) method and a mean Template method for fitting light curves (LCs) and we extract distances with a hierarchical Bayesian model that includes peculiar velocity and photometric uncertainties. For 56 SN Ia with both optical and NIR observations near max light, the GP method for the NIR LCs yields a Hubble-diagram intrinsic scatter of $\sigma_{int} = 0.087$ +/- 0.014 mag when referenced to NIR max and 0.090 + - 0.014 mag, when referenced to Bmax. For each NIR band, referencing to NIRmax versus B-max yields smaller intrinsic scatter and weighted RMS. Using NIR LC templates referenced to B-max yields a larger value of $\sigma_{int} = 0.118 + 0.015$ mag. Fitting the corresponding optical data using standard LC fitters that additionally use LC shape and color corrections, yields larger intrinsic scatter of $\sigma_{int} =$ 0.148 +/- 0.022 with SALT2 and 0.128 +/- 0.018 with SNooPy. *Applying our GP method to* subsets of SN Ia NIR LCs at NIR max light, even without LC shape or host-galaxy dust reddening corrections, provides smaller intrinsic scatter in the inferred distances, at the ~2-3 σ level, than standard optical methods that do correct for those effects.



Fig 1. Normalized mean *YJHK*^s templates with magnitude zero at $t_*=0$, (referenced to t_{Bmax}) and residuals. Plots show normalized mean magnitude $M(t_*)$ (black) vs. rest-frame phase t_* , population standard deviation $\sigma_{M(t_*)}$ (green) and the uncertainty in M(t*) (blue), from a hierarchical Bayesian model and Gaussian Process method.





16 -

18 -

18

19 -



Fig 2. Any *YJHK*^s Hubble diagrams (top), residuals (bottom) for: Template Method (*Left*) and GP Method referenced to t_{Bmax} (*Middle*) or tNIR_{max} (*Right*). NIR LCs from: CfA (red; Wood-Vasey et al. 2008; Friedman et al. 2015), CSP (blue; Krisciunas et al. 2017), others (green). Template method yields larger intrinsic scatter for same SN Ia: $\sigma_{int} = 0.118 + 0.015$ mag vs. GP, which yields smaller intrinsic scatter at NIR max of $\sigma_{int} = 0.087 + 0.014$ mag than at t_{Bmax} , where $\sigma_{int} = 0.090 + 0.014$ mag. Assumes peculiar velocity uncertainty $\sigma_{v,pec} = 150$ km/s.



Fig 4. LC fits using the Gaussian Process method (top), requiring data near NIR max, and the Template method (bottom), which works for arbitrarily sampled data.



Acknowledgements: HST GO-14216 and HST GO-13046. Supernova cosmology at the Harvard College Observatory is supported by NSF grants AST-156854 and AST-1211196. ASF acknowledges NSF INSPIRE award PHYS-154116.

Band	Method	$N_{ m SN}$	$\sigma_{ m int} [m mag]$	wRMS [mag]
Y	Template	41	0.111 ± 0.019	0.132
Y	GP (NIR max)	29	0.065 ± 0.020	0.095
Y	GP(B max)	29	0.079 ± 0.019	0.107
J	Template	87	0.140 ± 0.016	0.164
J	GP (NIR max)	51	0.108 ± 0.018	0.134
J	GP(B max)	51	0.124 ± 0.019	0.154
H	Template	80	0.123 ± 0.016	0.155
H	GP (NIR max)	44	0.031 ± 0.027	0.094
H	GP(B max)	44	0.064 ± 0.020	0.112
K_s	Template	30	0.180 ± 0.033	0.214
K_s	GP (NIR max)	13	0.094 ± 0.057	0.157
K_s	GP(B max)	13	0.098 ± 0.055	0.157
any $YJHK_s$	Template	89	0.138 ± 0.014	0.150
any $YJHK_s$	$\mathbf{Template}^{a}$	56	0.118 ± 0.015	0.142
any $YJHK_s$	GP (NIR max)	56	0.087 ± 0.014	0.093
any $YJHK_s$	GP (B max)	56	0.090 ± 0.014	0.105
JH	Template	80	0.134 ± 0.015	0.153
JH	GP (NIR max)	41	0.055 ± 0.019	0.096
JH	GP(B max)	41	0.081 ± 0.018	0.114
YJH	Template	37	0.101 ± 0.019	0.129
YJH	GP (NIR max)	21	0.052 ± 0.023	0.091
YJH	GP(B max)	21	0.073 ± 0.022	0.105
JHK_s	Template	28	0.151 ± 0.026	0.178
JHK_s	GP (NIR max)	11	0.032 ± 0.103	0.096
JHK_s	GP(B max)	11	0.086 ± 0.042	0.119
Optical BVR	SALT2	56	0.148 ± 0.022	0.183
Optical BVR	SNooPy	56	0.128 ± 0.018	0.136

Table 1. NIR intrinsic scatter, wRMS for Template, GP B-max, GP NIR max. Latter yields smaller σ_{int} wRMS for all NIR sets. Optical *BVR* fits shown last.

NIR Intrinsic Scatter & Weighted RMS

Optical-Only Hubble Diagrams

Fig 3. Same as Fig. 2 but using SALT2 and SNooPy to fit only optical *BVR* LCs for the same 56 SN Ia. The intrinsic scatter is \sim 2-3 σ larger than for several GP $YJHK_s$ subsets at NIR max. See Tables 1-2.



YJHKs means shown.

Conclusions

NIR SN Ia LCs without LC shape or dust corrections are *better* standard candles than optical LCs with those corrections. NIR LCs at NIR max are as good or better as standard candles than when referenced to B-max. NIR data are also less sensitive to systematics from dust and intrinsic color variation. Our high-z RAISIN program on HST will exploit this promising infrared approach to limit systematic errors when measuring the expansion history of the universe and making inferences about dark energy (**RAISIN**: Tracers of cosmic expansion with **SN IA** in the **IR**, PI: R. Kirshner, HST GO-13046, GO-14216). NIR SN Ia are thus very promising tools for next generation cosmology studies with HST, JWST, WFIRST.





Optical vs. NIR Intrinsic Scatter

$\Delta\sigma_{ m int}$	n - σ
0.083 ± 0.029	2.7
0.063 ± 0.026	2.3
0.040 ± 0.028	1.4
0.020 ± 0.025	0.7
0.117 ± 0.034	3.3
0.097 ± 0.032	2.9
0.061 ± 0.026	2.3
0.041 ± 0.022	1.7
0.093 ± 0.029	3.1
0.073 ± 0.026	2.7
0.096 ± 0.031	3.0
0.076 ± 0.029	2.6
-	$\Delta \sigma_{ m int}$ 0.083 ± 0.029 0.063 ± 0.026 0.040 ± 0.028 0.020 ± 0.025 0.117 ± 0.034 0.097 ± 0.032 0.061 ± 0.026 0.041 ± 0.022 0.093 ± 0.029 0.073 ± 0.029 0.096 ± 0.031 0.076 ± 0.029

Table 2. GP NIR max subsets have smaller intrinsic scatter than optical SALT/SNooPy *BVR* at up to ~2-3 σ for $\sigma_{v,pec} = 150$ km/s.